

Parish	Finchampstead
--------	---------------

Replies											
1. Broadly speaking, are you?	Response No.	2. Visual impact	2. Effect on wildlife	2. Effect upon Footpaths etc.	2. Construction Traffic	2. Proximity to Heritage Assets	Settlement Separation	2. Urbanisation of Greenspace	3. Do you have any other comments?	Total	
Against the Solar Farm Proposal	2	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned that this would make it easier to convert to building land in future, losing any green space between finch and barkham	1	
	10	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	This development will severe impact my property and quality of life. The area will be irreversibly changed and this development is an industrial development that fails to uphold the local rural landscape. The Consultation is in breach of fair and equitable public engagement as the population are in lockdown with no opportunity to meet and form local groups. In addition the only communication is digital which excludes GRT communities and digital poverty cohorts.	1	
	21	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	This country is a high latitude which means that solar panels are massively inefficient, especially in winter when demand is highest. The use of high quality farm land for what is essentially a subsidy farm is totally abhorrent to me. What capacity factor has been assumed? Where do the panel come from, what materials are used I their construction, who is paying for them? There are so many things wrong with this proposal that it is hard to state in the survey. We as tax payers are paying for all of this excess, even if indirectly through levies on electricity bills, despite declaring an emergency (which was no doubt under pressure from activists with no counter arguement) the councils ultimate responsibility is to the members of the community and this offers zero value for anyone (except maybe the company installing the panels!).	1	
	22	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1	
	27	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	There are limited spaces to walk in traffic free areas this will destroy thefewcountrywalks left	1	
	29	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	We should retain farm land and use for food production and not for this type of project. the proposed development would completely change a rural area into a industrial site.We should fight this proposal through the courts and not allow WBC to walk all over the Parish.	1	
	43	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Using limited green space which in itself has an environmental impact doesn't seem the smartest way to initiate a "green" strategy. This seems like a check box activity where the Real cost benefit outweigh each other. There are other more creative ways that do not impact on green spaces where a solar farm could be implemented without loss of green space.	1	
	46	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Unconcerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	This is a regular walking area and since the increase in usage due to the extended car parking at California Country Park the only local amenity to access by foot.	1	
	52	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	These should be installed on existing buildings or new builds not on green areas. WBC need to concentrate on road repairs etc	1	
	55	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Using a greenfield site when other brownfield sites would be more beneficial. Save the green spaces we have for growing crops locally. Enhancing nature and wildlife in the process	1	
	62	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1	
	68	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Why are we not utilising other suitable places for solar panels ie. roof tops of new build houses, roof tops of industrial units etc.	1
	102	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1
	105	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Feel that power to be fed into the national grid should be generated at sites determined as optimal at a national level. Cannot believe that a settlement gap in SE England is that. Moreover the scale as, presumably, one quarter of Wokingam's lications for future power generation, means a big impact within WBC in total and will, I imagine, be replicated in all neighbouring local authority areas.SO much land.	1
	121	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Not sure of any noise or potential health impact these cause to nearby residents. Local footpaths and nature areas are very nearby and ill be impacted which is not great for local residents. What is the local economic impact for building this in this location. Do the local residents get green energy from this? if its in our local area.	1
	122	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	this is a greenfield site that will become brownfield as soon as the panels are erected. It will never revert to farmland!	1
	124	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Nowhere is the amount of CO2 produced during the manufacture and installation of the solar panels mentioned in the plans	1
125	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	I do not believe this land will ever revert to farmland. Solar energy should now be part of all new build and there are far better solutions that do not destroy our environment for this and future generations.	1	
144	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	WBC will be ruining the environment for this generation and generations to come if this is implemented. Solar panels should be part of all new build design e.g. Finchwood Park, Aborfield garrison etc. 40 years is a very long time and I do not believe that this land will ever be returned to agriculture. It would be a scar on our landscape, totally unsightly and local residents will not benefit from it, only WBC.	1	

Against the Solar Farm Proposal	395	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	It is imperative that the solar farm cannot be seen from the road as an eyesore.	1
	398	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	The data on the proposed carbon savings omit the amount of carbon emitted during the construction and maintenance of this facility	1
	404	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	More green space gone forever. Future generations will look back at our destruction of the countryside and despise us for it. If you must have solar panels then put them on roof tops; there is many thousands of acres of space that could be used.	1
	414	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1
	419	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	I am concerned this is a back door way of developing the land for housing. It will take away from the natural beauty of the area especially as so much land is being developed now and just adding a field with a path way round it and calling it SANG does not make up for these natural areas!	1
	422	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	I do not believe this will be beneficial to the local communities and, will most likely, cause a range of problems from community issues to the detrimental effect on the ecosystem.	1
	428	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1
	429	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1
	430	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Yes, expansion of footpath across Barkham Ride to join up with Nine Mile Ride	1
	432	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Noise pollution	1
	443	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned		1
	445	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1
	447	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Use brownfield sites, use industrial estates, be innovative.	1
	456	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1
	457	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1
	459	Neutral	Concerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Solar panels built on farmland are not sustainable or green energy, these will create more carbon than they will save. sustainable farming is the answer to climate change not the cause. I. Happy to discuss this further with any interested party	1
	463	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1
	464	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1
	465	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1
	467	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned		1
	469	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1
	478	Concerned	Concerned	Unconcerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	This appears to be a financially driven proposal by the local authority for an industrial plant that comes at a disproportionate cost to a rural area in the green belt, especially when compared to other options at a national level	1
	481	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Solar farm being used on prime agricultural land.	1
	490	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1
	491	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Loss of farmland	1
	492	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Whilst I am in favour of green energy I am concerned about the environmental impact on the local area. I	1
	493	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	No more developments!	1
	495	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	The rampant urbanisation of Barkham and the surrounding area over the last decade has seen an urban sprawl with no limits continuing the trend seen up and down the country. I, as a local resident, have numerous concerns and issues with this latest development that I feel passionate about. However I am almost reluctant to put any of my energy into commenting in too much detail about my concerns as I know from experience, ultimately, that the local community is powerless to stop or even influence council plans. Nevertheless, I will briefly map out a few of my concerns here as it seems one of the only areas where residents have been asked for feedback. Regarding the proposed large-scale solar panel farm in Barkham, I wish to raise the following concerns I have for consideration and note. 1. Impact on my property - this development will severely impact my property (Windmill Farm Cottage, Commonfield Lane) where we have lived for 24 years. This includes the following items: - Visual impact and imposing nature of the development. My property will directly overlook the development across 2 of the large boundaries which have no hedging. The field to the North-East of my property is sloping upwards causing additional impact and imposition because	1
	505	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1
	519	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Against the Neighbourhood Development Plan	1
	522	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	This is of no direct benefit to the local residents and will be a further erosion of the green belt area we live in. I am disappointed that the thousands of new houses are not compelled to have solar panels installed by the developer. This would alleviate the need for green fields to be replaced by solar farms and then turned from brownfield to more housing. Please save this land and plant more trees instead of ripping them up at every opportunity.	1
	524	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1
	526	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	There appears to be very limited if any value to the local environment or community, which after all is or should be at the heart of the local council's thinking.	1
535	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned that this area will become brown field and liable for future development	1	
536	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1	
538	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	we have thousands of new houses being built and not a solar panel in sight, why are we not insisting the builders install solar panels on the new housing?	1	
541	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Neutral	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	I live in the area and believe that the solar farm will impact on the green space, which was the main reason I bought in the area! I use the paths daily walking in the area and this will definitely impact us by reducing the green area we live in.	1	
544	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	It would be better to use brownfield sites, or on top of existing buildings	1	
563	Concerned	Concerned	Unconcerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned		1	

Against the Solar Farm	575	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	1
	590	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	1
	592	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	1
Against the Solar Farm Proposal Total										97
In favour of the Solar Farm Proposal	12	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Neutral	Concerned	We need to consider the need to be self sufficient in energy production	1
	15	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Neutral	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Sustainable energy source needed to meet government green targets	1
	17	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	If we get cheaper power in the future then that's a good thing and presumable aids climate change?	1
	19	Concerned	Unconcerned	Concerned	Concerned	Unconcerned	Concerned	Unconcerned	I think we need clear data disproving the scare stories.e.g that use of solar farms has a bigger environmental impact than leaving as green fields. Assuming we have this, we have to do as much as we can for the environment despite the concerns.	1
	23	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Concerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned		1
	24	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Unconcerned	Concerned	Concerned		1
	48	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral		1
	73	Unconcerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Concerned		1
	104	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Unconcerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral		1
	107	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	I think it will be far better than any potential development of housing and the associated infrastructure. I also think that wildlife can operate underneath the panels and that it might not be as bad as people are thinking.	1
	109	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Unconcerned	Neutral	Unconcerned	Unconcerned		1
	131	Unconcerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Unconcerned	Unconcerned		1
	135	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Unconcerned	Neutral	A better use of the land than erecting hundreds of houses as long as construction and maintenance traffic/waste is carefully managed.	1
	140	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Please minimise traffic disruption	1
	142	Neutral	Unconcerned	Concerned	Unconcerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	I hope this will protect housing development which is my main reason to favor it. I hope the visual aspect will be behind hedges and not an eyesore. My house backs onto the area concerned and I would rather a solar farm than more housing. I like green energy too.	1
	143	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Unconcerned		1
	145	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Much prefer a solar farm to housing	1
	151	Unconcerned	Concerned	Unconcerned	Concerned	Unconcerned	Neutral	Neutral	I would like to see WBC use this opportunity to widen and resurface Barkham Ride and to provide a cycle path please.	1
	155	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Very important to keep bridleways and paths and public rights of way intact.	1
	157	Neutral	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned		1
	179	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	It's a good use of the land, and means there won't be any houses built here.	1
	182	Neutral	Concerned	Unconcerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned		1
	189	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Of course the loss of agricultural land is regrettable but the climate emergency demands immediate and radical action and so I support this development. One compensatory benefit of the project should be the enhancement of the footpath/cycling network.	1
	202	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	I am concerned as to what will happen to the tenant farmers who occupy the site. Also the state of Barkham Street road surface and drainage is appalling and deserves to be rectified whether or not the scheme goes ahead. I have declared for in favour as I would be opposed to an alternative, which I expect would be yet more housing.	1
	217	Neutral	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Unconcerned	I would prefer a solar farm in place of more housing	1
	235	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Why does it need to be so large?	1
	241	Neutral	Concerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Concern that power generated should be used locally as it's the residents that have to shoulder the environmental impact	1
	251	Concerned	Unconcerned	Concerned	Unconcerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Will email to BPC separately.	1
	263	Unconcerned	Neutral	Unconcerned	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	I feel that we have to do our part in moving to renewable energy sources and contributing energy to the National Grid. Naturally, I wouldn't want this to open the door to other developments that are harmful but we can't put all the responsibility onto others. Whilst our green spaces do need protection there has to be a trade off.	1
	284	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Unconcerned	Neutral	Neutral		1
	288	Unconcerned	Neutral	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Neutral	Unconcerned		1
	290	Neutral	Neutral	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Neutral		1
	296	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Unconcerned	Neutral	Neutral		1
336	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	I strongly agree with the comments you made in your summary document about the pros for the solar farm. The world is changing and like it or not we have to change with it. The pros far outweigh the cons and many of those can be overcome e.g., May impact biodiversity, wildlife and landscape if, by converting agricultural land to a solar farm without mitigation, the character of the area could be changed. As in the comment MITIGATION is the answer here! - Loss of green land that has been used for farming for over 1,000 years. The green land will not be lost - merely another use which is not farming - it will still be green. Hundred of houses on the site would be the real problem as no green, more traffic and no benefit to the wider community.	1	
343	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Neutral	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	As a borough we need to reduce our carbon footprint, this land has no asthetic value(you cannot see it as you walk the path/drive the road). its for 40 years while the world digs itself out of its carbon disaster and then can revert to farmed land - its not valued farm land now, 40 years on its unlikely to be either so more than likely they'll demand we build houses on it. This is a good option to avoid that outcome for now.	1	
344	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Unconcerned	Neutral	Unconcerned	Unconcerned		1	
371	Neutral	Unconcerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Very positive if biodiversity is encouraged	1	
390	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	It's a much better option than more & more houses!	1	
409	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Needs to be landscaped to mitigate the visual impact	1	

In favour of the Solar	411	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	We have to start to change, solar panels there is a start.	1
	415	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral		1
	416	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Unconcerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	1. light reflection distracting drivers. 2. need to protect existing trees & hedgerows	1
	421	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	If we have to have something on this land, then rather this than more unnecessary houses.	1
	434	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Very hard to complete survey without seeing the plans of a. Where exactly are they being installed and b. Show us a plan!	1
	462	Unconcerned	Concerned	Unconcerned	Neutral	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Neutral	I would prefer a solar farm to new housing. I'm very keen for more renewable energy. I feel solar farms are an obtrusive and a welcome inclusion to our countryside	1
	466	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Unconcerned	Neutral	Neutral		1
	470	Concerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	I don't want it, I don't like it but it is necessary to reduce carbon emissions.	1
	489	Unconcerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned		1
	507	Neutral	Concerned	Unconcerned	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned		1
	516	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Neutral		1
	528	Unconcerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Concerned	I think investing in renewable energy solutions is a great idea. I hope the proposal goes ahead and can be used for valuable research.	1
	530	Unconcerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Very happy for these to be in our neighbourhood	1
	532	Unconcerned	Concerned	Unconcerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral		1
	534	Neutral	Unconcerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	I have not heard about this from the counsell and this is round the corner from my home why is this? Please ensure residents in the immediate neighborhood are aware and have their views heard - not just those who hear on the grapevine.	1
	540	Unconcerned	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned		1
	545	Unconcerned	Neutral	Neutral	Unconcerned	Neutral	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	We have to move forward with the times and well placed solar farms with mitigating scenery aren't bad! There is still a lot of greenery seen as your picture clearly demonstrates so I don't see it as a loss of landscape between settlements.	1
553	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Why does our market town need the largest solar panel farm in Berkshire and wrapped around the church.	1	
576	Unconcerned	Neutral	Unconcerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Unconcerned		1	
In favour of the Solar Farm Proposal Total										58
Neither for nor against the proposal	63	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	I am all for green energy but these projects have a life span and if this goes ahead there is every likelihood that developers will be allowed to build yet more houses on green spaces	1
	173	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Concerned	Concerned		1
	205	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Loss of agricultural land. Population is growing and there is a need for food production. I understand the reasons for the proposal. It a loss of green space that will be covered by solar panels which will be an eyesore. What use will be made of the revenue generated by these fields of glass? It is unrealistic that all energy users can be converted to electricity.	1
	206	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Jan	1
	240	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1
	402	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1
	440	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	I would much rather see urban areas tackled first, such as rooves of council-owned buildings, covering car parks, and encouragement & financial support for residential, business and shopping area building rooves, before giving up green space which is essential for mental health and well being, the environment, wildlife including insects & pollinators. We have so many urban spaces which could be used for this first and foremost.	1
	441	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Neutral		1
	477	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Unconcerned	Concerned	Neutral		1
	499	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Barkham Ride, Barkham Street is an extremely busy road, even more so since the new developments at Arborfield. This leads onto fast traffic in residential school areas in Finchampstead. The council have done absolutely nothing to protect our children from the speed & volume of this traffic. Even more development with construction lorries is just adding to the problem. It is going to take for a child to be killed before they do anything. this traffic	1
	503	Concerned	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned		1
	527	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned		1
	531	Concerned	Neutral	Neutral	Unconcerned	Neutral	Neutral	Concerned	Conflicted as concerned about climate change but also care about local environment and wildlife	1
	533	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	I do feel that our local green space has been sorely over used in recent years. The development of Arborfield and the Bungalow development on Barkham Ride has spoilt a huge amount of green space for local wildlife.	1
	552	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Concerned	It seems okay as long as the plan laid out is stuck to	1
	572	Neutral	Concerned	Concerned	Concerned	Unconcerned	Unconcerned	Concerned	It seems okay as long as the plan laid out is stuck to	1
	Neither for nor against the proposal Total									
Grand Total										171